

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CÓNFIRMATION NO.
10/643,910	08/20/2003	Sameh Guirgis		4757
759	-170572001		EXAMINER	
Sameh Guirgis 4259 Tumbleweed Cresent Windsor, ON N9G389			CHOI, STEPHEN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CANADA			3724	
			DATE MAILED: 11/03/2004	ı

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	Office Author C	10/643,910	GUIRGIS, SAMEH	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Stephen Choi	3724	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sh	eet with the correspondence address	
THE : - External exte	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RIMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION in time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, opened for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory preto reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by seeply received by the Office later than three months after the replaced patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, n. a reply within the statutory minimun eriod will apply and will expire SIX (statute, cause the application to bec	may a reply be timely filed n of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. 8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.	
Status				
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	12 July 2004.		
		This action is non-final.		
·	Since this application is in condition for alle		matters, prosecution as to the merits is	
	closed in accordance with the practice und			
Dispositi	on of Claims			
	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the applica	tion		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>3,7,10 and 12-20</u>		onsideration	
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	io. a. o will all all morn of	, ioldoration.	•
	Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-6,8,9 and 11</u> is/are rejected		·	
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		.	
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election requiremen	ıt.	
Application	on Papers		•	
_	The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner		
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		d to by the Examiner	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co			
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the			
		,		
	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under 35 U.S	.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
-	All b) Some * c) None of:			
	1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docum			•
	2. Copies of the portified copies of the			
•	 Copies of the certified copies of the paper application from the International But 		een received in this National Stage	
* Se	ee the attached detailed Office action for a		not received	
,		not of the defined depicts	not received.	
144	-1			
Attachment() Notice	s) of References Cited (PTO-892)		ious Summans (PTO 442)	•
	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🗀 interv Pape	iew Summary (PTO-413) r No(s)/Mail Date	
3) 🛛 Inform	ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB	′08) 5) ☐ Notic	e of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Paper	No(s)/Mail Date <u>1/5,5/11& 5/25/04</u> .	6) Other		

Application/Control Number: 10/643,910

Art Unit: 3724

•

Page 2

Election/Restrictions

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Group III in the reply filed on 12 July 2004 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that group I should be examined together with group III. This is found to be persuasive. Hence, claims 1-2, 4-6, 8-9, and 11 are fully examined for patentability under 37 CFR 1.104.

Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 1-2 and 5-6 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 and 4 of U.S. Patent No. 6,644,529. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the subject matter of claims 1 and 4 are anticipated by claims 1 and 4 of U.S. Patent No. 6,644,529.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Application/Control Number: 10/643,910

Art Unit: 3724

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-2, 4-6, 8-9, and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as 5. failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claims contain subject matter conflicting with subject matter which was described in the specification and was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The claims omit steps disclosed to be essential to the invention as described in the specification. For example, the specification describes a step of either applying two harmonic forces substantially parallel to a predetermined fracture plane or applying a harmonic force to a cap in a direction perpendicular to the predetermined fracture plane is necessary to practice the invention (i.e., fracture separation of a part as claimed). There is no support in the specification that the claimed steps of merely applying the harmonic force to a second part as claimed in claim 1, to a cap portion as claimed in claim 5, or applying a cyclical load to a second portion in a direction substantially perpendicular to the fracture plane as claimed in claim 9 can be used to fracture-separate the part.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Juvinall, Grechus, Bailey et al., Cuddon-Fletcher et al., Clebant et al., Holtzberg et al., Evans, Ishikawa, Pitman et al., Fetouh, Sager, Mukai et al., Fabris et al., Hekman, Hoag et al. '134, '538, Miessen et al., Young, Schmidt, Henzler et al.,

Application/Control Number: 10/643,910

Art Unit: 3724

Becker, Jones et al., Wittenstein et al., Cavallo et al., Smith et al., and Haas et al. are cited to show related methods.

Page 4

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen Choi whose telephone number is 703-306-4523. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:00-3:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan Shoap can be reached on 703-308-2187. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SC

29 October 2004

STEPHEN CHOI